

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bee 1450 Abexandria, Virginia 22312-1450 Www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/926,709 12/05/2001 Kenichiro Yano 103176-00001 2869 7590 4372 11/06/2003 EXAMINER ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN ALVO, MARC S 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20036 1731

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
1, 5	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i>
Office Action Summary	09/926,709	YANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steve Alvo	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	*-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON Asatute, cause the application to become AR	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice undependent of Claims	allowance except for formal mat under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the mer 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ie Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in Ap	plication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the ce	priority documents have been real Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	eceived in this National Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don a) The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application has bee	en received.	ation).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	🗖		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ •

Application/Control Number: 09/926,709

Art Unit: 1731

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a digesting assistant, classified in class 162, subclass 72.

II. Claim 7, drawn to a sulfite method of producing a pulp, classified in class 162, subclass83The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product used can be used for other processes, e.g. the bleaching of mechanical pulp.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species disclosed in claim 5, equations 1-7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 5 and 7 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/926,709

Art Unit: 1731

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 703-308-2048. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Steve Alvo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

msa